

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/992,860	11/14/2001	Kuang Yu Chen	RU-0173 6007	
7590 09/16/2004			EXAMINER	
Louis M. Heidelberger, Esq.			FLOOD, MICHELE C	
Reed Smith LLP 2500 One Liberty Place			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1650 Market Street			1654	
Philadelphia, PA 19103			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/992,860	CHEN ET AL.				
navious naudi	Examiner	Art Unit				
1	Michele Flood	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 30 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	tion. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b)						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 17 June 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>6-9,12-15 and 30-37</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
		MICHELE FLOOD PATENT EXAMINER				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has inserted new limitations into independent Claims 6 and 30, which would require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: For the reasons of record, the rejections made in the previous Office action dated 12/17/2003 remain the same because the pending claims do not distinguish over the prior art.